

The media, your pediatrician, politicians and health authorities like the CDC and FDA claim that vaccines are unequivocally safe and effective. So why do hundreds of peer-reviewed studies indicate exactly the opposite is the case in a subset of individuals? Read on.

It is abundantly clear that if the present-day vaccine climate, namely, **that everyone must comply with the CDC's one-size-fits-all vaccination schedule or be labeled a health risk to society at large**, is to succumb to open and balanced discussion, it is the [peer-reviewed biomedical evidence](#) itself that is going to pave the way towards making rational debate on the subject happen.

With this aim in mind, [GreenMedInfo.com has painstakingly collected over 300 pages of study abstracts](#) culled directly from the National Library of Medicine's **pubmed.gov** bibliographic database on the wide-ranging adverse health effects linked to vaccines in the today's schedule (**over 200 distinct adverse effects, including death**), as well as numerous studies related to vaccine contamination, and **vaccine failure** in highly vaccine compliant populations.

This is the literature that the media, politicians and governmental health organizations like the CDC, commonly state does not exist – as if vaccine injury did not happen, despite the **over 4 billion dollars our government has paid out to vaccine injured** through the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Fund since it was inaugurated in 1986.

This document is **being made free to download** to the world at large in order to encourage the lay public, health professionals, activists, and elected officials alike to read, acknowledge and share the voluminous literature with their family, friends, colleagues and related stakeholders. You will find this research undermines the national and global agenda to continue to continually **expand the vaccine schedule** (on behalf of a vaccine industry that is indemnified against lawsuit for defective or harmful products), with increasing legislative pressure to remove exemptions and mandate them against the evidence of harm and at best equivocal effectiveness as a preventive health measure.

If the vaccination arm of modern medicine today is to continue to promote itself as a science- and evidence-based practice, *it must* acknowledge and incorporate the implications of the research we are releasing here, or lose any pretense at credibility. Failing to do so will reveal that the widespread push to remove your choice in the matter is agenda and not evidence driven, and due to the fact that vaccines all carry the risk of **irreversible harm and even death** (any vaccine insert proves this), it clearly violates the Nuremberg code of medical ethics (and informed consent in general) to promote them as *a priori safe* and effectiveness.