

The peak age for SIDS (sudden infant death syndrome) is 2–4 months, which coincides with the introduction of 11 shots containing 16 vaccines within the current CDC vaccination schedule

A new study published in Current Medicine and Chemistry titled, "Sudden infant death following hexavalent vaccination: a neuropathologic study," lends support for the long theorized link between an ever-expanding number of infant vaccines and Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).

Infant mortality rates are highest among countries that administer the most vaccines within the most vulnerable developmental window of infancy. A 2011 study published in Human & Experimental Toxicology, for instance, observed that "**The US childhood immunization schedule specifies 26 vaccine doses for infants aged less than 1 year—the most in the world—yet 33 nations have lower IMRs [infant mortality rates].**" They found that across the 34 nations analyzed "a high statistically significant correlation between increasing number of vaccine doses and increasing infant mortality rates, with $r = 0.992$ ($p = 0.0009$)."

Also, a recent study published in *Vaccine* titled, "Co-administration of live measles and yellow fever vaccines and inactivated pentavalent vaccines is associated with increased mortality compared with measles and yellow fever vaccines only" found **multiple infant vaccines dramatically increased the risk of mortality** in a trial conducted in the West African country of Guinea-Bissau.

level 1

[underseavoid](#)

24 points · [10 months ago](#) · edited 10 months ago

The first study you reference had a sample size of only 110 cases, in which only 13 appeared to confirm the researchers hypothesis. Needless to say no real conclusion can be drawn from such a small sample.

The second study you reference is just bad science. As you quoted, the US is 34th in infant mortality but has the most vaccines. The study chops the data off there and only looks at the top 34 countries. In other words they manipulated their data to find statistical significance.

The last study doesn't say vaccines are dangerous, it suggests that two specific vaccines given at the same time might be interacting with one another in a negative way that prevents them from being as effective. Even though the kids in the study who received one or the other had higher

survival rates than kids who received both, all of the kids had higher survival rates than kids who were not vaccinated at all.

Edit: I have to add, I was able to review the reliability of these sources in just a few minutes. It's admirable that you want to do your own research, but you need to understand what you're reading. Don't just read titles and skim to the conclusion. Try to understand the experimental design.

level 2

[sigismund1880](#)

12 points · [10 months ago](#) · edited 10 months ago

The second study you reference is just bad science. As you quoted, the US is 34th in infant mortality but has the most vaccines. The study chops the data off there and only looks at the top 34 countries. In other words they manipulated their data to find statistical significance.

Why would data manipulation be the only reason to do that? Infant mortality varies a lot for various reasons and developing countries can have a very high death rate due to factors that don't exist in first world countries which could obviously distort the results so there could be other reasons why you would only include the first 34 countries.

The last study doesn't say vaccines are dangerous, it suggests that two specific vaccines given at the same time might be interacting with one another in a negative way that prevents them from being as effective. Even though the kids in the study who received one or the other had higher survival rates than kids who received both, all of the kids had higher survival rates than kids who were not vaccinated at all.

it does definitely suggest that vaccines can be very dangerous. It shows that vaccines given in combinations that we see in the real world can kill children and interact in ways we can not predict.

Death is not a harmless side effect. Today up to 6 vaccines can be given in one single day and we have no idea how they interact.

level 3

[Casehead](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

Except regardless, the survival rate was still higher than not being vaccinated. So wouldn't that mean it's still helpful? Genuinely asking.

level 4

[sigismund1880](#)

3 points · [10 months ago](#) · edited 10 months ago

where did they say that? I read several studies by the same authors and in others it was found that single vaccines and some combinations caused more deaths than they could have prevented so I wouldn't necessarily assume that they are always helpful.

Also even if there is still a net benefit it would be cruel to let them die instead of changing the combinations.

level 5

[Casehead](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

Sorry, the other poster said that mortality was still less in the vaccine group than the non vaccine group. I thought that was a legitimate statistic, was it not? Definitely agree that changing the combos would be the thing to do, though! Just trying to get the whole mortality of vaccine vs no vaccine straight, that's an important factor in understanding the big picture I think, so if you by chance remember where that info was, would you point the way? If you don't it's ok of course. Good talk!

level 3

[underseavoid](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

They knew the US had the most vaccines in its schedule, and by cutting off the data at the US, they guaranteed it would also have the highest IMR. In other words they deliberately made the US an outlier in the data. They didn't use a good sample, they cherrypicked countries that would confirm their views. The fact that their confidence interval was so high should have tipped you off. That whole study was a mess. In addition the cutoff, they're comparing the number of shots in the vaccine schedule to a nationwide statistic without actually controlling for vaccine usage. My guess? The US has the highest IMR and also the lowest vaccine uptake rate of any of the top 34 developed nations. More people refuse to vaccinate their kids here and more kids die. Hmmm.

The second study most certainly does not suggest vaccines could be dangerous, although you're correct in saying they could have unintended side effects (all medicine does). The kids did not die of being vaccinated, they died of yellow fever, malaria, malnutrition, the list goes on. The vaccines failed to work. That's not the same as being harmful.

level 2

[Tsuikaya](#)

11 points · [10 months ago](#)

I think you're trying a bit ***too** hard to brush this off. Being 34th in IMR should be a huge fucking concern since we push vaccines on children so heavily, country that boasts about its medicine cant keep a baby alive?

"Torch found that two-thirds of babies who had died from SIDS had been vaccinated against DPT (diphtheria–pertussis–tetanus toxoid) prior to death."

"without additional data we do not know whether it is the vaccinated or unvaccinated infants who are dying in infancy at higher rates."

The second study isn't meant to talk about the dangers of single vaccines, its talking about the dangers of *multiple* vaccines, we don't administer vaccines alone we pump children full of dozens of them and thats obviously having an effect as the study quite clearly shows.

this study proves nothing, vaccines in single doses are safe.

It's admirable that you want to do your own review, but you need to understand what you're reading. Don't just derail the experiment, its showcasing vaccines not as singles but the fact that the more vaccines we are taking, the more we are dying. Try to understand the experimental design.

level 3

[Casehead](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

Question: was there a causation proved with the dpt vaccine and SIDS? Or did they just look and see that 2/3rd had the vaccine, and no causation?

level 3

[underseavoid](#)

0 points · [10 months ago](#)

Pushing vaccines on people doesn't guarantee they will administer them. Getting to zero IMR is next to impossible with our current medical technology, but developed nations do a pretty good job of getting us close.

To your second point, most babies are vaccinated and don't die. Some babies die of SIDs. Correlation does not equal causation and if it did you would expect 100% of the babies who died from SIDs to have been vaccinated rather than only 2/3rds.

I can't address your third point because you ignored what I wrote, made a fake strawman quote that never appeared in my post, and responded to that instead. Yikes.

level 4

[Tsuikaya](#)

3 points · [10 months ago](#)

Are you really trying to deny the heavy vaccine push that is going on? That's not even an argument, it is happening, the media and the doctors are *literally* saying if you do not vaccinate your child you are killing them, some places even have mandated vaccines. Getting zero IMR is difficult yes, but it's concerning that the nation that boasts about having some of the best medicine in the world (vaccines) has such a high imr.

Ah, the ol' correlation != causation, therefore the study is worthless.

lots of babies get vaccines and dont die.

Thats not an argument either, not everyone has a reaction, lots of people smoke, but they don't all die, therefore smoking is completely safe.

If you actually took the time to read the article it states that the unvaccinated are dying in fall/winter, where as the vaccinated are dying of sids between 2-4 months of age, they may both be dying of sids but they are dying at different times. You are just spewing out bullshit and trying to hide this fact and the study clearly states it, why are vaccinated children dying of sids in the time period of vaccination but unvaccinated children are not.

My last post is not a strawman, you told them to not jump to conclusions and to read what is being said and what is being studied yet you yourself have not, in fact you did the opposite, you tried to take attention away from the fact that children who were receiving MORE vaccines had a higher rate of death. The entire point was that we need to closer look at the vaccine schedule, rather than individual vaccines, because we aren't giving out single vaccines but rather injecting dozens and dozens into infants and clearly this is having an effect that we are ignoring because we don't want to see what happens when we pump too many into a child because then pharma would lose money.

Your entire post is meant to take the focus off of vaccines having a negative impact, you never addressed it, in fact you said the exact opposite.

The last study doesn't say vaccines are dangerous, it suggests that two specific vaccines given at the same time might be interacting with one another in a negative way that prevents them from being as effective.

"These findings demonstrate a counter-intuitive relationship: nations that require more vaccine doses tend to have higher infant mortality rates."

The conclusion is that we are giving too many vaccines and you are refusing to acknowledge it, attempting to focus more on *anything else*.

level 5

[underseavoid](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

My entire post was to encourage people to be scientifocally rigorous rather than taking this bullshit at face value. Nowhere did I "try to deny the heavy vaccine push" because it is irrelevant to the conversation about these three very flawed studies.

It isn't the children who recieve more vaccines who have a higher rate of death. It's literally "children who live in countries with more vaccines on their official schedule," with no reference to how many children in each country actually follow that schedule. In other words the entire premise of the study is so mathematically and scientifically flawed that any conclusion is automatically useless.

This also bears repeating because you didn't hear it the first time: the SIDS study had a sample size of 110 and only 13 confirmed the hypothesis. No patterns can possibly be drawn from only 13 cases. You're talking about the time of year of death as if this is some kind of settled science when in reality the entire study is useless.

I am not saying this because I'm a shill for pharmaceuticals, I haven't argued this conspiracy one way or the other, I have only encouraged people to think critically about the information that they put into their brains. These are studies commissioned by anti-vaxxers, that just happen to confirm what anti-vaxxers already believe? Alarm bells should be going off for you.

level 6

[Tsuikaya](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

These are studies commissioned by anti-vaxxers, that just happen to confirm what anti-vaxxers already believe? Alarm bells should be going off for you.

http://vaccineinfo.net/issues/conflictinterest/conflicts_of_interest.shtml

Literally all of the cdc, fda, hhs are funded and have financial ties to Merck and the vaccine industry. Alarm bells should be going off for you.

level 2

[Casehead](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

Thank you so much for clarifying this. I was wondering myself about the survival rate compared to unvaccinated kids, but was confused by it and not sure where to find that info. So I'm glad that you were able to point this out.

level 2

[liverpoolwin](#)

5 points · [10 months ago](#)

The first study you reference had a sample size of only 110 cases, in which only 13 appeared to confirm the researchers hypothesis. Needless to say no real conclusion can be drawn from such a small sample.

If that was the only study ever linking vaccines to SIDS, you might have a point, but there are many, the link is well known, in fact at one stage Big Pharma used to warn on the vaccine inserts about the risk of Crib Death after vaccines.

This [leaked memo](#) shows that Big Pharma know that certain batches of their vaccines cause Crib Death, so they deliberately split up the batches to make it harder for people to notice the effects of a batch.

The second study you reference is just bad science. As you quoted, the US is 34th in infant mortality but has the most vaccines. The study chops the data off there and only looks at the top 34 countries. In other words they manipulated their data to find statistical significance.

They are looking specifically at first world countries, developed nations, so as malnutrition and poor sanitation didn't come into it. If people in the first world are going to take a vaccine it needs to be based on first world data, not third world.

The last study doesn't say vaccines are dangerous, it suggests that two specific vaccines given at the same time might be interacting with one another in a negative way that prevents them from being as effective

Then vaccines are dangerous, because they are given together in combinations, and nobody is studying for these effects apart from random independent researchers who then get marginalized and smeared. The CDC vaccine schedule isn't about giving vaccines one at a time, it is about getting as many in on one sitting to boost Big Pharma profits, in case you don't come back again.

Edit: I have to add, I was able to review the reliability of these sources in just a few minutes.

Looking them up on Big Pharma shill whitewashing sites which never admit any harm in any Big Pharma product and constantly attack anyone who finds harm is not reviewing reliability.

Try to understand the experimental design.

Yes, look to experimental design, like pro-vaxx studies not using real placebos, like not tracking for more than a few days post-vaccination, like people who got injured in a study not appearing in the final data. Look also for funding e.g. if it's Bill Gates or Merck then don't believe it.

The science is not on the side of vaccinations, please think carefully about whatever is making your promote them here on Reddit, you don't want to be on the wrong side of history.

level 3

[underseavoid](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

Conspiracy theorists are supposed to be more critical, not less. If you deny that scientifically rigorous studies are legitimate, and insist that non- scientifically rigorous studies tell the truth, no meaningful discussion can be had. We're supposed to ask questions, not make up the answers we want to hear.

level 2

[antikama](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

34th in infant mortality for a country like America is very disturbing. Don't downplay it.

level 3

[underseavoid](#)

1 point · [10 months ago](#)

We're in the 30s for a lot of stats, but all developed nations tend to be pretty close together. It's harder for the US to "compete" on those tables because we have a significantly higher population and a more decentralized government than those other 33 countries. That doesn't mean we have an alarming IMR for a developed nation (we don't).

level 1

[Casehead](#)

14 points · [10 months ago](#)

That last article is pretty concerning.

level 2

[redditready1986](#)

3 points · [10 months ago](#)

My wife just told me her friend's daughter just died from Sid's. I was surfing their Facebook and saw their last post before it happened. They took a pic with their baby in the car and said "On our way to get this little girl her shots, yayyy." She died the following day in her sleep from SIDS. The poor unsuspecting parents have no idea.

level 3

[Casehead](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

That's devastating... I cannot even begin to imagine the pain her parents will live with

level 1

[liverpoolwin](#)

12 points · [10 months ago](#) · edited 10 months ago

[Vaccines also create superbugs](#)

A quick intro below for anyone new to the vaccine debate

Once one learns what is really going on, we discover that unfortunately the science is not settled as the industry refuses to fund the necessary studies to find out if vaccines are bringing a net benefit overall, the industry doesn't want to find the harm their products cause as it can mean loss of confidence, sales and also huge compensation payouts. So what they do is whitewash their products, data is played with, statistics are used to lie.

A recent study found that the Tetanus vaccine was actually reducing life expectancy rather than increasing, yes, the vaccine was making people more likely to die.

The Introduction of Diphtheria-Tetanus-Pertussis and Oral Polio Vaccine Among Young Infants in an Urban African Community: A Natural Experiment

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5360569/>

Conclusion

DTP was associated with increased mortality

The honest experts are so sick of Big Pharma for not funding any proper studies into the safety of injecting Aluminum, that now they are crowdfunding for over \$600,000 to perform the trials.

Private forces to raise funds for research into aluminum in vaccines

<https://patientdanmark.dk/private-forces-to-raise-funds-research-into-aluminium-in-vaccines/>

Here are some sources to get people started

For those from a scientific background I'd strongly recommend the presentations given at the [Vaccine Safety Conference](#)

The rest is a general starter pack for people who want to learn more:-

[Dr Peter Götzsche exposes big pharma as organized crime](#)

Birth dose of hepatitis B vaccine may not be necessary: Study

<http://www.thehindubusinessline.com/news/science/birth-dose-of-hepatitis-b-vaccine-may-not-be-necessary-study/article10033134.ece>

Professor Gordon T. Stewart, Emeritus Professor of Public Health, Glasgow University, explains exactly the dangers of the Whooping Cough vaccine from a Emeritus Professor of Public Health

<http://www.vaccinationinformationnetwork.com/the-dangers-of-whooping-cough-vaccination-prof-gordon-stewart/>

"the marginal advantages of the vaccine in children over one year of age have to be offset against adverse effects of the vaccine itself, which are very common indeed and may be followed occasionally by irreversible brain damage, paralysis and mental deficiency. Because of this danger, or for fear of it, many parents and doctors are reluctant to vaccinate their children."

Dr. Suzanne Humphries Lecture on vaccines and health

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SFQQOv-Oi6U>

Dr Tenpenny, What the CDC documents say about vaccines

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1VwVBmx0Ng>

Here a professor explains his findings regarding the dangers of injecting Aluminum, which is contained in most vaccines

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yCzdliaxnmI>

Here's the study itself:-

Aluminum adjuvant linked to Gulf War illness induces motor neuron death in mice

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17114826/>

Experts complain of the 'witch hunt' which takes place after any scientist reports on vaccine dangers

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/articles/28882443/>

Association between type 1 diabetes and Hib vaccine Causal relation is likely

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1116914/>

Infant mortality rates regressed against number of vaccine doses routinely given

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3170075/>

The Polio vaccines are causing problems worse than Polio

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22591873>

"Furthermore, while India has been polio-free for a year, there has been a huge increase in non-polio acute flaccid paralysis (NPAFP). In 2011, there were an extra 47,500 new cases of NPAFP. Clinically indistinguishable from polio paralysis but twice as deadly, the incidence of NPAFP was directly proportional to doses of oral polio received. Though this data was collected within the polio surveillance system, it was not investigated. The principle of primum-non-nocere was violated."

Recordings from the CDC whistleblower exposing lies, corruption, manipulation of data and destruction of evidence

<http://fearlessparent.org/cdc-data-stranglehold-blocks-autism-vaccine-research-recording-2/>

Follow the money!! (see below)

How Much US Pediatricians Make From Vaccines

"So how much money do doctors really make from vaccines? The average American pediatrician has 1546 patients, though some pediatricians see many more. The vast majority of those patients are very young, perhaps because children transition to a family physician or stop visiting the doctor at all as they grow up. As they table above explains, Blue Cross Blue Shield pays pediatricians \$400 per fully vaccinated child. If your pediatrician has just 100 fully-vaccinated patients turning 2 this year, that's \$40,000. Yes, Blue Cross Blue Shield pays your doctor a \$40,000 bonus for fully vaccinating 100 patients under the age of 2. If your doctor manages to fully vaccinate 200 patients, that bonus jumps to \$80,000. V But here's the catch: Under Blue Cross Blue Shield's rules, pediatricians lose the whole bonus unless at least 63% of patients are fully vaccinated, and that includes the flu vaccine. So it's not just \$400 on your child's head—it could be the whole bonus. To your doctor, your decision to vaccinate your child might be worth \$40,000, or much more, depending on the size of his or her practice.

If your pediatrician recommends that your child under the age of 2 receive the flu vaccine—even though the flu vaccine has never been studied in very young children and evidence suggests that the flu vaccine actually weakens a person's immune system over the long term—ask yourself: Is my doctor more concerned with selling me vaccines to keep my child healthy or to send his child to private school?"

<https://wellnessandequality.com/2016/06/20/how-much-money-do-pediatricians-really-make-from-vaccines/>

[Screenshot Page 5](#)

[2016 Performance Recognition Program PDF](#)

[Harvard doctor admits he's too scared to speak truth on vaccines as Big Pharma are watching, implies there will be consequences](#)

Human papilloma virus vaccine and primary ovarian failure: another facet of the autoimmune/inflammatory syndrome induced by adjuvants.

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23902317>

[Smoke, Mirrors and the "Disappearance" of Polio](#)

In the [Senate Big Pharma getting told off for putting substances in vaccines without first performing the necessary safety studies](#)

This study found that it is the vaccines made using aborted fetal cells which are causing Autism

Impact of environmental factors on the prevalence of autistic disorder after 1979

<http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JPHE/article-abstract/C98151247042>

Under Freedom of Information we see that CDC experts privately admit the dangers of vaccines, they admit that vaccines are causing neurological problems, speech delays and they warn the information must be embargoed.

<http://www.aapsonline.org/vaccines/cdcfdaexperts.htm>

Key quotes below:-

Dr. Johnston, pg. 14-15 & 19-20: "The data on its toxicity (shows) it can cause neurologic and renal toxicity, including death."

Dr. Weil, pg. 24: "There are just a host of neurodevelopmental data that would suggest that we've got a serious problem." ... "the potential for aluminum and central nervous system toxicity was established by dialysis data. To think there isn't some possible problem here is unreal."

Dr. Verstraeten, pg. 31: "we have found statistically significant relationships between the exposure and outcomes for these different exposures and outcomes."

Dr. Verstraeten, pg. 44: "Now for speech delays, which is the largest single disorder in this category of neurologic delays. The results are a suggestion of a trend with a small dip. The overall test for trend is highly statistically significant above one."

Dr. Bernier, pg. 113: "So we are asking people who have a great job protecting this information up until now, to continue to do that until the time of the ACIP meeting. So to basically consider this embargoed information."

Dr. Johnson, pg. 198: "This association leads me to favor a recommendation that infants up to two years old not be immunized with Thimerosal containing vaccines if suitable alternative preparations are available." ... "I do not want that grandson to get a Thimerosal containing vaccine until we know better what is going on."

Dr. Weil, pg. 207: "The number of dose related relationships are linear and statistically significant. You can play with this all you want. They are linear. They are statistically significant."

Dr. Brent, pg. 229 "we are in a bad position from the standpoint of defending any lawsuits"

Dr. Clements, pg 247- 249: "that I am very concerned that this has gotten this far, and that having got this far, how you present in a concerted voice the information to the ACIP in a way they will be able to handle it and not get exposed"

Dr. Bernier, pg. 256: "just consider this embargoed information, if I can use that term, and very highly protected information"

level 1

[steve34654](#)

5 points · [10 months ago](#)

This could also be a human-forced natural selection by the government. Only the babies whose bodies and immune systems are strong enough to withstand the chemicals in the vaccines will survive and reach adulthood.

level 1

[allSmallThings](#)

2 points · [10 months ago](#)

Circumcision is also a contributing factor to SIDS.

www.doctorsopposingcircumcision.org